

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 4643 Martin Theriault Serie 6389 10/750,418 12/31/2003 EXAMINER 7590 05/19/2005 Linda Russell O MALLEY, KATHRYN S Air Liquide PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Suite 1800 2700 Post Oak Boulevard 3749 Houston, TX 77056

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/750,418	THERIAULT, MARTIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathryn S. O'Malley	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	October 2004.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square drawing(s) be held in abeyan tion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/23/04, 10/12/04	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,221,163 to Roberson, Jr. et al.
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 9, 15, and 19, Roberson, Jr. et al. teach a transportable (col. 1, ln. 17) apparatus and method of its use comprising cabinet 12 having an enclosed interior space (col. 4, ln. 15 and Figure 1a), a desiccator 20 to form a dry air stream (col. 4, ln. 33-35), means to receive a supply of compressed air (col. 4, ln. 18-20), and means to direct the dry gas stream from desiccator 20 to the interior of cabinet 12 to maintain a low humidity environment in the interior space (col. 5, ln. 18-28). Regarding claims 11 and 20, cabinet 12 is mounted to the base housing desiccator 20 (col. 4, ln. 15-17). It is deemed that the term "mounted" meets the claimed limitation of "integral" since it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. *In re Hotte*, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973). Regarding claim 12, flow control valve 21 varies the volume of the dry gas stream entering cabinet 12 (col. 4, ln. 45-49). Regarding claim 14, filter 42 removes particulates from the air stream (col. 5, ln. 3-6). Regarding claim 18, the humidity of the interior is maintained at 0.1% (col. 5, ln. 23-25).

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 6-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberson, Jr et al. as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,615,908 to Bosher et al.
- 6. Regarding claims 2 and 10, Roberson, Jr. et al. teach a source of compressed nitrogen (col. 4, ln. 18-23) and supplying compressed nitrogen to desiccator 20 (col. 4, ln. 18-20), but Roberson, Jr. et al. does not teach generating the nitrogen. Bosher et al. teach a system and method of its use similar to that taught by Roberson, Jr. et al. comprising supplying nitrogen (col. 12, ln. 20) and utilizing desiccant elements (col. 13, ln. 65- col. 4, ln. 2) for controlling the humidity within a cabinet (col. 4, ln. 7-8; col. 12, ln. 1-2) that can be used to store "items requiring a controlled temperature" (col. 1, ln. 39-40). In addition to having these characteristics in common with the apparatus and method taught by Roberson, Jr. et al., Bosher et al. furthermore teach generating the nitrogen that is supplied to the cabinet (col. 13, ln. 27-34). Regarding claims 7 and 8, Bosher et al. teach utilizing either a hollow fiber membrane or pressure swing adsorption system nitrogen generator (col. 13, ln. 33-34). As Bosher et al. teach having a nitrogen generator in communication with a cabinet is an effective option to control the

Art Unit: 3749

atmosphere of the cabinet (col. 13, ln. 27-34), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the nitrogen supply of Roberson, Jr. et al. with the nitrogen generator of Bosher et al. Regarding claim 6, Bosher et al. do not teach a plurality of membranes. However, such a modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

- 7. Claims 3-5, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberson, Jr. et al. in view of Bosher et al., as applied to claims 2 and 15 above, and further in view of US Patent 5,439,507 to Barbe et al.
- 8. Bosher et al. are silent as to details about the membrane nitrogen generator (col. 13, ln. 33-34) used in their apparatus. Regarding claims 3, 16, and 17, Barbe et al. teach that a membrane nitrogen generator utilizes a membrane to separate air and form a dry nitrogen gas stream from a source of compressed gas (col. 3, ln. 3-22). Regarding claims 4 and 5, Barbe et al. teach that a hollow fiber membrane nitrogen generator is generally formed from a polymeric material (col. 9, ln. 66-68). As Bosher et al. are silent as to details about the membrane nitrogen generator (col. 13, ln. 33-34) used in their apparatus, but Barbe et al. teach that the limitations of claims 3-5, 16, and 17 are typical characteristics of a hollow fiber membrane nitrogen generator, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a membrane nitrogen generator having the limitations taught by Barbe et al. as the membrane nitrogen generator taught by Bosher et al.

Art Unit: 3749

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (571)272-4879. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHERYL I YLER

CHERYLSORY PATENT EXAMINER

KSO